

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.usplc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,935	02/14/2002	Kenji Furuya	70459	1700 °
	04/01/2003			
McGLEW AND TUTTLE, P.C. SCARBOROUGH STATION			EXAMINER	
	GH, NY 10510-0827		LE, DANG D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/075,935	FURUYA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dang D Le	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at 1 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a receipt within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	6 February 2003 .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	er <i>⊑x par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2002</u> is/a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	examiner.				
		444.			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has be	en received.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - Page 4, line 20, replace "Figure 3" with Figures 3(a) to 3(e) --. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the wound portion" in last line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/075,935

Art Unit: 2834

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nuss in view of Katagiri et al. (6,170,146).

Regarding claim 1, Nuss shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention including a connective portion between the both ends of each of said wound wires and the corresponding commutator leg part is formed by winding a wire stripped of its insulating coat except for welding the wound portion.

Katagiri et al. shows the wound portion being welded for the purpose of making an electrical connection.

Since Nuss and Katagiri et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to weld the wound portion as taught by Katagiri et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, it is noted that Katagiri et al. also show all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,935

Art Unit: 2834

8. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nuss in view of Katagiri et al. (6,170,146) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Okuyama et al.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the rotor of Nuss modified by Katagiri et al. shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for a lower melting point than that of copper (the use of phosphor bronze).

Okuyama et al. shows the use of metal with lower melting point than that of copper for the purpose of increasing the efficiency.

Since Nuss, Katagiri et al., and Okuyama et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use phosphor bronze as taught by Okuyama et al. for the purpose discussed above.

# Information on How to Contact USPTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/075,935

Art Unit: 2834

Page 5

872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

March 31, 2003

Long Lle